## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL LENA,

Plaintiff, No. C 13-4024 EDL (PR)

v. ORDER OF DISMISSAL

KEVIN CHAPELL, et. al.,

Defendant.

Plaintiff, a state prisoner currently incarcerated at High Desert State Prison filed a pro se civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The original complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Plaintiff stated prison officials at San Quentin State Prison had obstructed his attempts to file legal actions with the court, by preventing him from properly completing in forma pauperis applications and from completely exhausting inmate grievances. The court discussed the deficiencies in his complaint and set forth the legal standard for such a claim.

Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint but has filed a letter and states there was some confusion about his action. It seems that plaintiff did not wish to commence an action yet, but wanted aid in completing an IFP application for another action that is not yet ready to be filed and concerns defendants at San Quentin State Prison and High Desert State Prison. As plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint and based on his letter, this case will be dismissed. Moreover, plaintiff was incarcerated at San Quentin State Prison when he initially filed this action but has since been transferred to High Desert State Prison, so any injunctive relief he seeks regarding defendants at San Quentin State Prison is moot. To the extent he is having difficulties at High Desert State Prison he must file an action in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California where that facility it

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, this action is **DISMISSED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 16, 2013.

located.1

United States Chief Magistrate Judge

G:\PRO-SE\EDL\CR.13\Lena4024.dis.wpd

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff is again informed that to establish a claim for any violation of the right of access to the courts, he must prove that there was an inadequacy in the prison's legal access program that caused him an actual injury. See Lewis v. Casey, 518 U.S. 343, 350-55 (1996) To prove an actual injury, he must show that the inadequacy in the prison's program hindered his efforts to pursue a non-frivolous claim concerning his conviction or conditions of confinement. See id. at 354-55.

26

27

28

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3 4	MICHAEL LENA,	Case Number: CV13-04024 EDL	
5	Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
6			
7	KEVIN CHAPELL et al,	KEVIN CHAPELL et al,	
8	Defendant.	Defendant/	
9		t, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.	
10			
11	That on December 16, 2013, I SERVED a true	That on December 16, 2013, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said	
12		copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle	
13			
14	4		
15	Michael Angelo Lena #AN9206 High Desert State Prison		
16	D 0 000		
17			
18	Dated: December 16, 2013	ichard W. Wieking, Clerk	
19		By: Lisa R Clark, Deputy Clerk	
20			
21	1		
22	2		
23	3		
24	4		
25	5		